

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,449	10/828,449 04/20/2004		Jinsaku Masuyama	016295.1659 (DC-06524)	4002
23640	7590	05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER		LLP	DINH, TUAN T		
910 LOUI HOUSTO		7002-4995		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2841	
				DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>					
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/828,449	MASUYAMA ET	ΓAL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Tuan T. Dinh	2841						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SI, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)						
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requiremen	nt.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form I	PTO-152.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
				al Stage					
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		or the certified cop	ics not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 In	terview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		her:						

Application/Control Number: 10/828,449

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, and 15-20, drawn to an information handling system and an apparatus, classified in class 361, subclass 760.
 - II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a method for manufacturing an electronic component substrate, classified in class 29, subclass 830+.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2)

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example the product does not require the step of "a

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

barrel defined by at least one side wall creating a void" as claimed in the method claims.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Specie I Figure 1.

Specie II Figure 2.

Specie III Figure 3.

Specie IV Figure 4.

Specie V Figure 5.

Specie VI Figure 6.

Specie VII Figure 7.

The species are independent or distinct because they are different embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Brian Szymczik on May 22, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Dinh May 22, 2006.